PO Box 10 375 The Terrace Lobby Wellington 6140

28 October 2021

Kanny Ooi Senior Policy Advisor and Researcher Medical Council of New Zealand By email: kooi@mcnz.org.nz

Tēnā koe Kanny,

Re: Medical Council consultation on its statement on 'What to do when you have concerns about another doctor.'

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the above consultation. As you know, the Council of Medical Colleges (CMC) is the collective voice for sixteen medical colleges in Aotearoa New Zealand, and through its members aims to improve, protect and promote public health via a well-trained medical workforce providing highguality medical care. A number of CMC's member colleges will submit their feedback directly on this statement.

Overall, the CMC considers the updated statement is clear and well-written. We support the changes made to the document, including the addition of a summary box highlighting key points; and the change of title from 'colleague' to 'doctor' which reflect obligations under the Health Practitioners Competence Assurance Act 2003 more accurately. The statement is also clearly centered on patient safety and protecting patients from risk of harm.

The CMC has several recommendations for strengthening the statement further, set out below.

1. Raising concerns directly with the doctor involved

The CMC agrees that when raising concerns about another doctor, the first step to consider is raising concerns directly with the doctor involved. The Medical Council's statement acknowledges that constructive and open communication is needed for this process, and the doctor concerned may become defensive.

Conversations of this nature require courage, the correct language to craft the conversation, and the ability to respond appropriately if the communication becomes defensive or aggressive. The CMC considers training and resources to support doctors with such conversations would increase the likelihood of concerns being raised early and directly with the doctor involved, as well as the chance of these conversations resolving concerns at the earliest possible stage.

2. Cultural safety

The Medical Council's statement on cultural safety recognises that quality of patient care is impacted by the "biases, attitudes, stereotypes, prejudices, structures and characteristics" of individual doctors, and that such biases play a role in inequitable health outcomes for Maori and other population groups.

Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (ACEM) New Zealand College of Public Health

Medicine (NZCPHM)

Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists (ANZCA) Royal Australasian College of Medical Administrators (RACMA)

Australasian College of Sport and Exercise Physicians (ACSEP)

Ophthalmologists (RANZCO)

College of Intensive Care Medicine of Australia and New Zealand (CICM) The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Royal Australasian College of Surgeons (RACS)

Musculoskeletal Medicine (NZAMM) Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RANZCOG) Royal New Zealand College of Urgent Care (RNZCUC)

The New Zealand Association o

The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists (RANZCP) The Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners (RNZCGP)

The Royal Australasian College of Physicians (RACP)

The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Radiologists (RANZCR) (RCPA)

The Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia

Te Kaunihera o Ngā Kāreti Rata o Aotearoa COUNCIL OF MEDICAL COLLEGES NEW ZEALAND PO Box 10 375 The Terrace Lobby Wellington 6140

Racism and bias at the individual level are a patient safety issue, and doctors may find themselves in situations where they need to discuss racist behaviour with a colleague or elevate concerns internally or externally. The CMC considers that guidance on what to do when you have concerns about a colleague displaying racist or biased behaviour should be explicitly included in this statement. Doing so would further support the Medical Council's expectations on culturally safe care, and support doctors to recognise and challenge behaviours that contribute to poor health outcomes for Māori. Once again, training and resources to support these conversations would increase the likelihood of the conversations happening successfully.

3. Information detrimental to public health

As raised in the submission from the Royal Australasian College of Physicians, patient harm can also occur when doctors promote information that is detrimental to public health. This has occurred recently with a small number of doctors undermining public health messaging about COVID-19 vaccination. The CMC recommends that the statement also provides guidance on how to raise concerns about colleagues promoting misinformation that risks harming patients.

Thank you once again for the opportunity to provide feedback. If you have any queries about this submission, please contact Virginia Mills (Executive Director) in the first instance at <u>virginia.mills@cmc.org.nz</u>

Nāku noa, nā

, euro

Dr John Bonning Chair

Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (ACEM)	Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists (ANZCA)	Australasian College of Sport and Exercise Physicians (ACSEP)	College of Intensive Care Medicine of Australia and New Zealand (CICM)	The New Zealand Association of Musculoskeletal Medicine (NZAMM)
New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine (NZCPHM)	Royal Australasian College of Medical Administrators (RACMA)	The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Ophthalmologists (RANZCO)	Royal Australasian College of Surgeons (RACS)	Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RANZCOG)
The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists (RANZCP)	The Royal Australasian College of Physicians (RACP)	The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Radiologists (RANZCR)	The Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia (RCPA)	Royal New Zealand College of Urgent Care (RNZCUC)
The Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners (RNZCGP)				